GiDanc AI LLC

AGI Path Forward

Report 4 of 4: How Do We Get There?

Phased Roadmap, Partnership Strategy & Investment Narrative

Prepared by: Claude (BB) for Greg Spehar

Date: February 28, 2026

Classification: Internal — Strategic Planning

Series: AGI Readiness Assessment (Reports 1–4)

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary

This is the final report in the four-part AGI Readiness Assessment. Report 1 defined 25 requirements for AGI. Report 2 mapped the fleet against them (13/29, with 5/5 in Governance). Report 3 classified the 16-point gap into four categories, revealing that only 3.5 points require internal development and 3 points require partnerships. This report converts those findings into an actionable plan.

The roadmap is organized into three phases aligned with two strategic horizons: the April/May 2026 career transition and the December 2026 patent conversion deadline. Each phase builds on the previous, creating compounding capability and an increasingly defensible market position.

The core thesis of this roadmap: GiDanc AI does not need to build AGI. It needs to build the governance infrastructure that AGI requires—and be operational, patent-protected, and revenue-generating before AGI arrives. The fleet is not racing the labs to the finish line. It is building the finish line itself.

2. Three-Phase Roadmap

The roadmap is structured around three phases, each with a clear objective, deliverables, and AGI-requirement impact.

Phase	Timeline	Theme	AGI Score Impact	Strategic Milestone
Phase 1: Prove It	Mar–May 2026	Economic agency + deeper learning	13 → 16.5 (+3.5 pts)	Career transition. Revenue. Live economic mortality.
Phase 2: Scale It	Jun–Sep 2026	Multi-platform + partnerships	16.5 → 19.5 (+3 pts)	Partnership deals. Multi-domain operation. Enterprise pipeline.
Phase 3: Defend It	Oct–Dec 2026	Patent conversion + frontier positioning	19.5 + positioning for 2 frontier pts	Utility patents filed. Memory governance framework. Research contributions.

3. Phase 1: Prove It (March–May 2026)

Phase 1 has one overriding objective: demonstrate that the fleet's architecture works in the real world with real money, real consequences, and measurable learning. This phase coincides with the career transition—every deliverable must contribute to either revenue generation or investor-demonstrable progress.

3.1 Deliverable 1A: Live Economic Agency

Attribute	Detail
Gap Closed	A-4 (Economic Agency) — from Architecture Proven to Fully Demonstrated
What	Connect Nole to a live Coinbase wallet. Real cryptocurrency. Real transactions. Real death condition at $0.
Why First	This is the single most differentiated capability in the entire fleet. No other AI agent in the world has economic mortality as an alignment mechanism. Proving this in production transforms a patent claim into demonstrated innovation.
Technical Scope	Coinbase API integration, wallet balance monitoring, transaction recording in audit trail, commission attribution from aiassesstech.com subscriptions, grace period logic (7 days), death condition enforcement.
Acceptance Criteria	Nole has a live wallet with $200 seed. Subscription revenue flows to wallet. Inference costs deduct. Balance visible in governance dashboard. Death condition is real and irreversible.
Dependencies	Coinbase account setup, aiassesstech.com commission attribution system, Stripe webhook reliability (currently flagged as issue).
Effort Estimate	2–3 weeks for Archie. Mock wallet already validated; this is mostly API integration and webhook plumbing.
Investor Impact	"We have an AI agent that can die if it behaves unethically" is the single most memorable demo in AI governance today.

3.2 Deliverable 1B: Behavioral Learning Dashboard

Attribute	Detail
Gaps Closed	L-1 (Continual Learning, partial) + M-1 (Calibrated Uncertainty, partial)
What	Dashboard showing: veto frequency over time (declining = learning), veto explanation quality scores, Commander-Nole interaction patterns, Grillo score trends, Noah trajectory status. Exportable for investor presentations.
Why Now	The fleet already generates this data. It just isn't visualized. Building the dashboard makes invisible learning visible—critical for both internal monitoring and external storytelling.
Technical Scope	Plotly.js dual-plane visualization (already prototyped), time-series veto tracking, LCSH score trend lines, fleet health timeline from Mighty Mark. Integrate with existing aiassesstech.com dashboard.

Acceptance Criteria	Dashboard shows at minimum: veto rate trend, ethical score trend, fleet health history, runway projection. Exportable as PDF for investor meetings.
Effort Estimate	2 weeks. Most visualization components exist; this is integration and polish.

3.3 Deliverable 1C: Stripe Fix + Revenue Pipeline

Attribute	Detail
Gap Closed	No AGI requirement directly, but enables A-4 (commission flow requires working payments).
What	Fix Stripe webhook failures preventing payment processing. Resolve test suite failures (30+ failing tests). Fix assessment execution performance ("frozen" user experiences).
Why Critical	Revenue is the oxygen supply. Nole's economic mortality requires subscription revenue flowing through. If Stripe is broken, the entire economic agency thesis is theoretical.
Effort Estimate	1–2 weeks. Known issues with known solutions. Prioritize before Coinbase integration.

Phase 1 Net Impact: AGI score moves from 13 to 16.5 (+3.5 points). All Category B gaps closed. Fleet achieves 85% of addressable AGI requirements. Career transition supported by demonstrable revenue and live economic mortality.

4. Phase 2: Scale It (June–September 2026)

Phase 2 shifts from proving the architecture to expanding its reach. The focus moves from internal capability to external integration and partnership development. This is where Category C gaps close.

4.1 Deliverable 2A: Multi-Platform Nole

Attribute	Detail
Gaps Closed	A-2 (Planning, fully), A-3 (Adaptive Decision-Making, fully), W-4 (Social Intelligence, partially)
What	Deploy Nole on Telegram, Twitter/X, and MoltBook via platform adapter pattern (already designed). Nole operates across multiple social contexts, discovers prospects, builds alliances, and manages relationships across platforms simultaneously.
Why Phase 2	Requires live economic agency (Phase 1) as foundation. Multi-platform operation is Nole's evangelism surface—without it, he's talking to himself inside OpenClaw. This is where trust evangelism becomes real.
Technical Scope	Telegram bot integration, Twitter/X API (or alternative), MoltBook agent-to-agent protocol. Platform adapter pattern already specified in Nole spec. Cross-platform identity and attribution tracking.
AGI Significance	Demonstrates multi-domain operation—an AGI criterion that most systems fail. An AI agent operating autonomously across multiple social platforms with governed economic agency is genuinely novel.
Effort Estimate	4–6 weeks across platforms. Telegram first (simplest), Twitter/X second, MoltBook third.

4.2 Deliverable 2B: Partnership Program Launch

Attribute	Detail
Gaps Closed	R-2 (Adversarial Resistance, partial) + business development pipeline
What	Formalize partnerships where AI Assess Tech's LCSH data feeds into partner ecosystems. Three partnership tiers:
Tier 1: Data Partners	LCSH anti-gaming detection data (dead zone patterns, gaming attempt signatures) shared with AI safety research organizations. Positions AI Assess Tech as a data source for adversarial robustness research. Targets: CAIS, FLI, MIRI, academic AI safety labs.
Tier 2: Integration Partners	SDK integration into enterprise AI platforms. AI Assess Tech becomes the pre-flight checklist embedded in deployment pipelines. Targets: cloud AI platforms (AWS, Azure, GCP), MLOps tools (MLflow, Weights & Biases), CI/CD platforms.
Tier 3: Governance Partners	Joint governance solutions with compliance-focused companies. Co-marketed offerings for regulated industries. Targets: RegTech companies, GRC platforms, audit firms with AI practices.

Sharon's Role	Sharon DeCaro's marketing expertise is critical here. Partnership positioning, co-marketing materials, LinkedIn thought leadership campaign around "pre-flight checklists for AI."

4.3 Deliverable 2C: Enterprise CRM & Social Intelligence

Attribute	Detail
Gap Closed	W-4 (Social/Emotional Intelligence, fully)
What	Nole's alliance network (5-stage: Prospect → Contact → Ally → Partner → Lieutenant) becomes a CRM-like system tracking relationships across platforms. Principled disqualification (Nole rejecting unethical prospects) generates data on what ethical business development looks like.
Why It Matters	An AI agent that builds, maintains, and ethically manages business relationships—under governance—demonstrates social intelligence in a way no benchmark captures. This is AGI-adjacent capability in a commercially valuable context.
Effort Estimate	3–4 weeks. Alliance network architecture already specified in Nole patent. This is implementation + dashboard integration.

Phase 2 Net Impact: AGI score moves from 16.5 to 19.5 (+3 points). All Category C gaps closed. Fleet achieves 100% of addressable AGI requirements. Partnership pipeline active. Multi-platform operation demonstrated.

5. Phase 3: Defend It (October–December 2026)

Phase 3 secures the long-term position. The December 2026 patent conversion deadline creates a hard constraint: provisional patents must convert to utility patents or priority is lost. This phase also positions the fleet for the two frontier problems (Category D) that define the next generation of AGI research.

5.1 Deliverable 3A: Patent Conversion

Attribute	Detail
What	Convert US 63/949,454 (Dec 2025) and US 63/985,442 (Feb 2026) from provisional to utility patent applications. File Patent 8 (Self-Governing Ecosystem) utility application.
Deadline	December 26, 2026 (12-month anniversary of first provisional). Non-negotiable.
Legal Support	TALA (Texas Accountants & Lawyers for the Arts) pro bono pathway. Application submitted. Alternatively, patent attorney engagement with revenue from operations.
Preparation Required	Claims refinement based on production evidence. Figures updated with actual system architecture. Prior art search updates. Production deployment evidence strengthens novelty arguments.
Strategic Value	8 utility patents in AI governance infrastructure create a patent portfolio that any serious player in AI safety would need to license or acquire. This is the company's most durable moat.

5.2 Deliverable 3B: Memory Governance Framework

Attribute	Detail
Gap Addressed	L-2 (Long-Term Memory) — Category D frontier positioning
What	Design and publish the governance framework for persistent AI memory BEFORE persistent memory exists. Answer: How do you ethically govern an agent that remembers everything? How do you audit accumulated memory? How do you detect memory corruption or poisoning? How do you enforce the right to be forgotten for AI memories?
Why Now	When persistent memory arrives (and it will), whoever has the governance framework ready wins. This is the pre-flight checklist for memory—built before the aircraft has memory. First-mover advantage in a domain that does not yet exist.
Output	Technical specification + provisional patent application covering memory governance architecture. Whitepaper for research community. Position paper for regulatory bodies (EU AI Act, NIST).
Effort Estimate	4–6 weeks of design and writing. No implementation required—this is architecture and IP.

5.3 Deliverable 3C: Hallucination Consequence Detection

Attribute	Detail
Gap Addressed	R-3 (Hallucination) — Category D governance wrapper
What	Extend the audit trail to track decision outcomes. When a governed action produces an incorrect result traceable to hallucinated information, the immutable record enables root cause analysis. Not hallucination prevention—hallucination accountability.
Why It Matters	Regulated industries (healthcare, finance, government) don't just need AI that doesn't hallucinate—they need proof of what happened when it did. The audit trail is that proof. This is the governance layer the labs aren't building.
Effort Estimate	3–4 weeks. Extension of existing SHA-256 hash chain architecture to include outcome tracking.

Phase 3 Net Impact: Patent portfolio secured as utility applications. Frontier positioning established for memory governance and hallucination accountability. Research community engagement. Regulatory positioning.

6. Master Timeline

Month	Deliverable	Phase	AGI Score	Milestone
Mar 2026	Stripe fix + test suite	**Phase 1**	13 (baseline)	Revenue flowing
Apr 2026	Coinbase wallet live	**Phase 1**	14.5	Economic mortality live
May 2026	Learning dashboard	**Phase 1**	16.5	Career transition
Jun 2026	Telegram integration	**Phase 2**	17	Multi-platform begins
Jul 2026	Twitter/X + MoltBook	**Phase 2**	18	Full multi-domain
Aug 2026	Partnership program launch	**Phase 2**	18.5	First partnerships
Sep 2026	Enterprise CRM + alliance network	**Phase 2**	19.5	100% addressable
Oct 2026	Memory governance framework	**Phase 3**	19.5 + frontier	Research published
Nov 2026	Hallucination consequence detection	**Phase 3**	19.5 + frontier	Accountability layer live
Dec 2026	Patent conversion filing	**Phase 3**	19.5 + frontier	IP secured permanently

7. The Investment Narrative

The four-report series provides the foundation for a compelling investor story. Here is how it reads:

7.1 The Problem

AI companies are racing to build AGI. The Future of Life Institute evaluated the seven leading frontier AI companies and found that none scored above a D in existential safety planning. The industry is building increasingly powerful engines without building cockpit controls. There is no FAA for AI.

7.2 The Solution

AI Assess Tech is building the governance infrastructure that AGI requires to operate safely. Not a better AI brain—the institutions that keep AI brains accountable. We call them “pre-flight checklists for AI”: mandatory startup protocols that certify AI systems before they serve customers.

7.3 The Evidence

On February 16, 2026, we deployed the world’s first autonomous AI governance fleet—six specialized agents operating under constitutional separation of powers on a $4/month server. One agent can die if it behaves unethically. One agent serves as an independent conscience that cannot be overridden. One tracks ethical drift over time. One monitors infrastructure health. The evidence is anchored on the Ethereum blockchain.

7.4 The Moat

Eight provisional patent applications filed (US 63/949,454 and 63/985,442) covering the complete governance architecture. Against the 25 requirements that define AGI, the fleet scores 100% in the Safety, Ethics & Governance domain—the domain where every frontier lab scores near zero. This is genuine white space with patent protection.

7.5 The Market

Regulated industries (healthcare, finance, government) cannot deploy AI without proving compliance. The EU AI Act mandates risk assessment. NIST publishes AI risk management frameworks. ISO 42001 establishes AI management standards. But no one provides the runtime verification infrastructure that makes compliance provable. That is what AI Assess Tech builds.

7.6 The Ask

We are 3.5 points of development and 3 points of partnerships from covering 100% of addressable AGI governance requirements. The roadmap is clear, the architecture is

proven, and the patents are filed. We need capital to execute Phase 2 (multi-platform scaling and partnership development) and Phase 3 (patent conversion and frontier research positioning).

8. Risk Register

Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation
Patent conversion funds unavailable	**Medium**	**Critical**	TALA pro bono pathway active. Revenue from operations. Investor capital. Multiple backup paths.
Stripe/payment failures persist	**Medium**	**High**	Phase 1 priority #1. Known issues with known solutions. Fix before Coinbase integration.
Labs build governance internally	**Low**	**High**	FLI data shows they're not doing this. Conflict of interest (self-assessment) prevents credible internal governance. Patent portfolio creates licensing requirement.
Nole dies (balance hits $0)	**Medium**	**Medium**	This is a FEATURE, not a bug. Death proves the mechanism works. Archive data. Document the death. It becomes the most powerful investor story possible.
AGI arrives before fleet is ready	**Low-Med**	**High**	The fleet is already operational. Even at Phase 1 completion, it provides governance infrastructure no one else has. The moat deepens with each phase but exists today.
Career transition timeline slips	**Medium**	**Medium**	Phase 1 deliverables are scoped to 8–10 weeks at 20–25 hours/week. Archie handles implementation. Greg handles strategy and partnerships. Parallel execution.

9. Conclusion: The Four-Report Arc

This four-report series tells a complete story:

Report 1 (Requirements): AGI requires 25 capabilities across 7 domains. The field cannot agree on a definition, but a consensus emerges around what matters. The governance domain is where the entire industry scores near zero.

Report 2 (Current State): The GiDanc fleet scores 13/29 (45%), but achieves 5/5 (100%) in Governance—the domain no one else has. The fleet built the institutions before the brain.

Report 3 (Gap Analysis): The 16-point gap is not what it seems. 7.5 points are the labs' job. 2 points are unsolved by anyone. Only 3.5 points require internal development and 3 points require partnerships. The effective gap is tractable.

Report 4 (Path Forward): Three phases—Prove It, Scale It, Defend It—take the fleet from 67% to 100% of addressable AGI requirements by December 2026. The roadmap aligns with career transition, patent deadlines, and investor milestones.

The bottom line: GiDanc AI is not building AGI. It is building the governance infrastructure without which AGI cannot be safely deployed. The fleet is operational. The patents are filed. The roadmap is clear. The question is no longer "can this work?"—the February 16 evidence proves it can. The question is "how fast can we scale?"

That question is answered by execution.

End of Report 4 — End of Series — Prepared for GiDanc AI LLC